arXiv:1602.04497v1 [math.NA] 14 Feb 2016

A converse to Fortin's Lemma in Banach spaces

Alexandre Ern [a] and Jean-Luc Guermond [b]

[a] *Université Paris-Est, CERMICS (ENPC), 77455 Marne la Vallée Cedex 2, France*

[b] *Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University 3368 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843, USA*

Abstract

The converse of Fortin's Lemma in Banach spaces is established in this Note.

MSC2010 classification 65N30, 65N12, 46B10

Key words: Finite elements, surjective operators, inf-sup condition, duality

1. Introduction

Let V and W be two complex Banach spaces equipped with the norms $\|\cdot\|_V$ and $\|\cdot\|_W$, respectively. We adopt the convention that dual spaces are denoted with primes and are composed of antilinear forms; complex conjugates are denoted by an overline. Let a be a sesquilinear form on $V \times W$ (linear w.r.t. its first argument and antilinear w.r.t. its second argument). We assume that a is bounded, i.e.,

$$\|a\| := \sup_{v\in V}\sup_{w\in W}\frac{|a(v,w)|}{\|v\|_V\|w\|_W} < \infty, \tag{1}$$

and that the following inf-sup condition holds:

$$\alpha := \inf_{v\in V}\sup_{w\in W}\frac{|a(v,w)|}{\|v\|_V\|w\|_W} > 0. \tag{2}$$

Here and in what follows, arguments in infima and suprema are implicitly assumed to be nonzero.

Let $V_h \subset V$ and $W_h \subset W$ be two finite-dimensional subspaces equipped with the norms of V and W, respectively. A question of fundamental importance is to assert the following discrete inf-sup condition:

$$\hat{\alpha} := \inf_{v_h\in V_h}\sup_{w_h\in W_h}\frac{|a(v_h,w_h)|}{\|v_h\|_V\|w_h\|_W} > 0. \tag{3}$$

Email addresses: ern@cermics.enpc.fr (Alexandre Ern), guermond@math.tamu.edu (Jean-Luc Guermond).

The aim of this Note is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1 (Fortin's Lemma with converse) *Under the above assumptions, consider the following two statements:*

(i) *There exists a map* $\Pi_h : W \to W_h$ *and a real number* $\gamma_\Pi > 0$ *such that* $a(v_h, \Pi_h w - w) = 0$*, for all* $(v_h, w) \in V_h \times W$*, and* $\gamma_\Pi \|\Pi_h w\|_W \le \|w\|_W$ *for all* $w \in W$*.*

(ii) *The discrete inf-sup condition* (3) *holds.*

Then, (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) *with* $\hat\alpha = \gamma_\Pi \alpha$*. Conversely,* (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) *with* $\gamma_\Pi = \frac{\hat\alpha}{\|a\|}$*, and* Π_h *can be constructed to be idempotent. Moreover,* Π_h *can be made linear if* W *is a Hilbert space.*

The statement (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Theorem 1 is classical and is known in the literature as Fortin's Lemma, see [5] and [1, Prop. 5.4.3]. It provides an effective tool to prove the discrete inf-sup condition (3) by constructing explicitly a Fortin operator Π_h. We briefly outline a proof that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) for completeness. Assuming (i), we have

$$\sup_{w_h \in W_h} \frac{|a(v_h, w_h)|}{\|w_h\|_W} \ge \sup_{w \in W} \frac{|a(v_h, \Pi_h w)|}{\|\Pi_h w\|_W} = \sup_{w \in W} \frac{|a(v_h, w)|}{\|\Pi_h w\|_W} \ge \gamma_\Pi \sup_{w \in W} \frac{|a(v_h, w)|}{\|w\|_W} \ge \gamma_\Pi \alpha \, \|v_h\|_V,$$

since a satisfies (2) and $V_h \subset V$. This proves (ii) with $\hat\alpha = \gamma_\Pi \alpha$.

The converse (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is of independent theoretical interest and is the main object of this Note. This property is useful when it is easier to prove the discrete inf-sup condition directly rather than constructing a Fortin operator. Another application of current interest is the analysis framework for discontinuous Petrov–Galerkin methods (dPG) recently proposed in [3] which includes the existence of a Fortin operator among its key assumptions. Incidentally, we observe that there is a gap in the stability constant γ_Π between the direct and converse statements, since the ratio of the two is equal to $\frac{\|a\|}{\alpha}$ (which is independent of the spaces V_h and W_h).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that the discrete inf-sup condition (3) holds. Let $A_h : V_h \to W_h'$ be the operator defined by $\langle A_h v_h, w_h \rangle_{W_h', W_h} := a(v_h, w_h)$. Identifying V_h'' with V_h and W_h'' with W_h (since these spaces are finite-dimensional), we consider the linear map $A_h^* : W_h \to V_h'$. Our goal is to construct a right-inverse map $R_{A_h^*} : V_h' \to W_h$ (possibly nonlinear) such that, for all $\theta_h \in V_h'$, $A_h^*(R_{A_h^*}(\theta_h)) = \theta_h$ and $\hat\alpha \|R_{A_h^*}(\theta_h)\|_W \le \|\theta_h\|_{V_h'}$. Indeed, if such a map exists, we can consider the linear map $\Theta : W \to V_h'$ such that, for all $w \in W$, $\langle \Theta(w), v_h \rangle_{V_h', V_h} := \overline{a(v_h, w)}$ for all $v_h \in V_h$. Then defining $\Pi_h = R_{A_h^*} \circ \Theta : W \to W_h$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} a(v_h, \Pi_h(w)) &= \langle A_h v_h, R_{A_h^*}(\Theta(w)) \rangle_{W_h', W_h} = \overline{\langle A_h^*(R_{A_h^*}(\Theta(w))), v_h \rangle_{V_h', V_h}} \\ &= \overline{\langle \Theta(w), v_h \rangle_{V_h', V_h}} = a(v_h, w), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $a(v_h, \Pi_h(w) - w) = 0$ for all $w \in W$. Moreover,

$$\hat\alpha \|\Pi_h(w)\|_W = \hat\alpha \|R_{A_h^*}(\Theta(w))\|_W \le \|\Theta(w)\|_{V_h'} \le \|a\| \|w\|_W,$$

which proves that $\frac{\hat\alpha}{\|a\|} \|\Pi_h(w)\|_W \le \|w\|_W$. In addition, we observe that

$$\langle \Theta(R_{A_h^*}(\theta_h)), v_h \rangle_{V_h', V_h} = \overline{\langle A_h v_h, R_{A_h^*}(\theta_h) \rangle_{W_h', W_h}} = \langle A_h^*(R_{A_h^*}(\theta_h)), v_h \rangle_{V_h', V_h} = \langle \theta_h, v_h \rangle_{V_h', V_h},$$

for all $v_h \in V_h$, which proves that $\Theta(R_{A_h^*}(\theta_h)) = \theta_h$ for all $\theta_h \in V_h'$. As a result, $\Pi_h(\Pi_h(w)) = R_{A_h^*}(\Theta \circ R_{A_h^*}(\Theta(w))) = R_{A_h^*}(\Theta(w)) = \Pi_h(w)$, i.e., Π_h is idempotent.

It remains to build the right-inverse map $R_{A_h^*}$ to complete the proof. We can rewrite (3) as follows:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \sup_{w_h \in W_h} \frac{|\langle A_h v_h, w_h \rangle_{W_h', W_h}|}{\|v_h\|_V \|w_h\|_W} > 0.$$

Let us assume first that W is a Hilbert space. Let K_h be the orthogonal complement of $\ker(A_h^*)$ in W_h, i.e., $W_h = K_h \oplus \ker(A_h^*)$. Observing that $A_h^* : K_h \to V_h'$ is bijective, we set $R_{A_h^*} = (A_{h|K_h}^*)^{-1} : V_h' \to K_h \subset W_h$. Then $A_h^* R_{A_h^*} \theta_h = \theta_h$ for all $\theta_h \in V_h'$, by definition, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\alpha} &= \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \sup_{w_h \in W_h} \frac{|\langle A_h v_h, w_h \rangle_{W_h', W_h}|}{\|v_h\|_V \|w_h\|_W} = \inf_{w_h \in W_h} \sup_{v_h \in V_h} \frac{|\langle A_h v_h, w_h \rangle_{W_h', W_h}|}{\|v_h\|_V \|w_h\|_W} \\
&\leq \inf_{w_h \in K_h} \sup_{v_h \in V_h} \frac{|\langle A_h v_h, w_h \rangle_{W_h', W_h}|}{\|v_h\|_V \|w_h\|_W} = \inf_{\theta_h \in V_h'} \sup_{v_h \in V_h} \frac{|\langle A_h v_h, R_{A_h^*} \theta_h \rangle_{W_h', W_h}|}{\|v_h\|_V \|R_{A_h^*} \theta_h\|_W} \\
&= \inf_{\theta_h \in V_h'} \sup_{v_h \in V_h} \frac{|\langle A_h^* R_{A_h^*} \theta_h, v_h \rangle_{V_h', V_h}|}{\|v_h\|_V \|R_{A_h^*} \theta_h\|_W} = \inf_{\theta_h \in V_h'} \frac{\|\theta_h\|_{V_h'}}{\|R_{A_h^*} \theta_h\|_W},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality results from Lemma 2 below (this lemma provides an abstract counterpart of the fact that the singular values of a square matrix and its transpose coincide; this algebraic result could be invoked here directly). This implies that $\hat{\alpha} \|R_{A_h^*}\|_W \leq \|\theta_h\|_{V_h'}$ for all $\theta_h \in V_h'$. Hence $R_{A_h^*}$ has the desired properties; note that $R_{A_h^*}$ is linear.

In the more general setting of Banach spaces, we set $Y := W_h$, $Z := V_h'$, and $B := A_h^*$. Identifying A_h^{**} with A_h, we obtain

$$\hat{\alpha} = \inf_{z' \in Z'} \sup_{y \in Y} \frac{|\langle B^* z', y \rangle_{Y', Y}|}{\|z'\|_{Z'} \|y\|_Y} > 0.$$

We now apply Lemma 4 below and infer that there exists a right-inverse map $R_{A_h^*} : V_h' \to W_h$ with the desired properties.

Remark 1 (Linearity and uniform stability) Assume that we have at hand a sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces $\{V_h\}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}$, $\{W_h\}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}$. Assume the existence of a decomposition $W_h = \ker(A_h^*) \oplus K_h$ that is uniformly stable with respect to $h \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., there is $\kappa > 0$, independent of $h \in \mathcal{H}$, such that the induced projector $\pi_{K_h} : W_h \to K_h$ satisfies $\kappa \|\pi_{K_h} w_h\|_W \leq \|w_h\|_W$ for all $w_h \in W_h$. This property holds in the Hilbertian setting with $\kappa = 1$. Then, even for Banach spaces, one can use the reasoning above for Hilbert spaces to build a linear operator Π_h that is uniformly bounded; the only difference is the bound $\|R_{A_h^*}(\theta_h)\|_W \leq (\kappa \hat{\alpha})^{-1} \|\theta_h\|_{V_h'}$ leading to $\gamma_\Pi = \frac{\kappa \hat{\alpha}}{\|a\|}$.

3. Operators in Banach spaces

Let Y and Z be two complex Banach spaces equipped with the norms $\|\cdot\|_Y$ and $\|\cdot\|_Z$, respectively. Let $B : Y \to Z$ be a bounded linear map.

Lemma 2 (Inf-sup) *Assume that B is bijective and that Y is reflexive. Then*

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{z' \in Z'} \frac{|\langle z', By \rangle_{Z', Z}|}{\|z'\|_{Z'} \|y\|_Y} = \inf_{z' \in Z'} \sup_{y \in Y} \frac{|\langle z', By \rangle_{Z', Z}|}{\|z'\|_{Z'} \|y\|_Y}. \tag{4}$$

Proof Denote by l and r the left- and right-hand side of (4), respectively. The left-hand side being equal to l means that l is the largest number such that $\|By\|_Z \geq l \|y\|_Y$ for all y in Y. Let $z' \in Z'$ and

$z \in Z$. Since B is surjective, there is $y_z \in Y$ so that $By_z = z$ and the previous statement regarding l implies that $l\,\|y_z\|_Y \le \|z\|_Z$. This in turn implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|z'\|_{Z'} &= \sup_{z\in Z} \frac{|\langle z', z\rangle_{Z',Z}|}{\|w\|_Z} = \sup_{z\in Z} \frac{|\langle w', By_z\rangle_{Z',Z}|}{\|z\|_Z} = \sup_{z\in Z} \frac{|\langle B^*w', y_z\rangle_{Y',Y}|}{\|z\|_Z} \\ &\le \|B^*z'\|_{Y'} \sup_{z\in Z} \frac{\|y_z\|_Y}{\|z\|_Z} \le \frac{1}{l}\|B^*z'\|_{Y'},\end{aligned}$$

which implies $l \le r$. That $r \le l$ is proved similarly by working with Z' in lieu of Y, Y' in lieu of Z and B^* in lieu of B (which is also surjective). We infer that

$$\inf_{z'\in Z'} \sup_{y''\in Y''} \frac{\langle y'', B^*z'\rangle_{Y'',Y'}}{\|y''\|_{Y''}\|z'\|_{Z'}} \le \inf_{y''\in Y''} \sup_{z'\in Z'} \frac{\langle y'', B^*z'\rangle_{Y'',Y'}}{\|y''\|_{Y''}\|z'\|_{Z'}},$$

and we conclude using the reflexivity of Y. □

The following result is a consequence of Banach's Open Mapping and Closed Range Theorems, see, e.g., [4, Lem. A.36 & A.40].

Lemma 3 (Surjectivity) *The following three statements are equivalent:*

(i) $B : Y \to Z$ *is surjective.*

(ii) $B^* : Z' \to Y'$ *is injective and* $\mathrm{im}(B^*)$ *is closed in* Y'.

(iii) *The following holds:*

$$\beta := \inf_{z'\in Z'} \sup_{y\in Y} \frac{\langle B^*z', y\rangle_{Y',Y}}{\|z'\|_{Z'}\|y\|_Y} > 0. \tag{5}$$

Assume that B is surjective, i.e., the inf-sup condition (5) holds. We now show that it is possible to construct a right-inverse map of B and to control its norm by the inf-sup constant β.

Lemma 4 (Right inverse) *Assume that* (5) *holds and that* Y *is reflexive. Then there is a right-inverse map* $R_B : Z \to Y$ *such that*

$$\forall z \in Z, \quad B(R_B(z)) = z \quad \textit{and} \quad \beta\|R_B(z)\|_Y \le \|z\|_Z. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, it is possible to construct a linear map R_B *if* Y *is a Hilbert space.*

Proof This result can be found in [4, Lem. A.42]; for completeness, we present a proof. The inf-sup condition (5) implies that B^* is injective (see Lemma 3(ii)). Let us set $\mathcal{H} := \mathrm{im}(B^*) \subset Y'$ equipped with the norm of Y'. Let $R_{B^*} : \mathcal{H} \to Z'$ be such that, for all $y' \in \mathcal{H}$, $B^*(R_{B^*}(y')) = y'$. B^* being injective, R_{B^*} is uniquely defined and is a linear map; notice also that $R_{B^*}(B^*(z')) = z'$ for all $z' \in Z'$ since $R_{B^*}(B^*(z')) - z'$ is in $\ker(B^*) = \{0\}$. Moreover, the inf-sup condition (5) implies that $\|R_{B^*}(y')\|_{Z'} \le \beta^{-1}\|y'\|_{Y'}$. We next define the linear map $\phi : Z \to \mathcal{H}'$ such that, for all $z \in Z$, $\langle \phi(z), y'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\langle R_{B^*}(y'), z\rangle_{Z',Z}}$ for all $y' \in \mathcal{H}$. We infer that

$$|\langle \phi(z), y'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H}}| \le \|R_{B^*}(y')\|_{Z'}\|z\|_Z \le \beta^{-1}\|y'\|_{Y'}\|z\|_Z.$$

This means that $\phi(z)$ is bounded on $\mathcal{H} \subset Y'$ with $\|\phi(z)\|_{\mathcal{H}'} \le \beta^{-1}\|z\|_Z$. Owing to the Hahn–Banach Theorem in complex Banach spaces (see [2, Prop. 11.23]), $\phi(z)$ can be extended to Y' with the same norm. Let $\mathcal{E}(\phi(z)) \in Y''$ be the extension in question with $\|\mathcal{E}(\phi(z))\|_{Y''} \le \beta^{-1}\|z\|_Z$. Since Y is reflexive, the canonical isometry $J_Y : Y \to Y''$ is a linear isomorphism. Let us set $R_B(z) := J_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{E}(\phi(z)))$; notice that $J_Y(R_B(z)) = \mathcal{E}(\phi(z))$. Then, the following holds for all $z' \in Z'$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle z', B(R_B(z))\rangle_{Z',Z} &= \langle B^*z', R_B(z)\rangle_{Y',Y} = \overline{\langle J_Y(R_B(z)), B^*z'\rangle_{Y'',Y'}} = \overline{\langle \mathcal{E}(\phi(z)), B^*z'\rangle_{Y'',Y'}} \\ &= \langle \phi(z), B^*z'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H}} = \langle R_{B^*}(B^*z'), z\rangle_{Z',Z} = \langle z', z\rangle_{Z',Z},\end{aligned}$$

showing that $B(R_B(z)) = z$. Moreover, $\|R_B(z)\|_Y = \|J_Y(R_B(z))\|_{Y''} = \|\mathcal{E}(\phi(z))\|_{Y''} = \|\phi(z)\|_{\mathcal{H}'} \leq \beta^{-1}\|z\|_Z$, showing that (6) holds. In conclusion, $R_B = J_Y^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E} \circ \phi$, where the first and third maps are linear. If Y is a Hilbert space, then the extension map $\mathcal{E}$ is also linear; it suffices to extend linear forms on $\mathcal{H}$ by zero on the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}$ in Y'. □

References

[1] D. Boffi, F. Brezzi, and M. Fortin. *Mixed finite element methods and applications*, volume 44 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[2] H. Brezis. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.

[3] C. Carstensen, L. Demkowicz, and J. Gopalakrishnan. A posteriori error control for DPG methods. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52(3):1335–1353, 2014.

[4] A. Ern and J.-L. Guermond. *Theory and practice of finite elements*, volume 159 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

[5] M. Fortin. An analysis of the convergence of mixed finite element methods. *RAIRO, Anal. Num.*, 11:341–354, 1977.